

JUN 27 2011 SWRPA U.S. Department of Homeland Security 99 High Street, 6th Floor Boston, MA 02110-2320



June 15, 2011

Dr. Floyd Lapp, Executive Director South Western Regional Planning Agency Stamford Government Center 888 Washington Boulevard, Third Floor Stamford, CT 06901

Dear Dr. Lapp:

Thank you for the opportunity to review additional Annexes of the South Western Regional Planning Agency Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan. The Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region I has evaluated the plan for compliance with 44 CFR Part 201. The plan satisfactorily meets all of the mandatory requirements set forth by the regulations. Congratulations on this achievement!

This plan approval includes the following participating jurisdictions that provided copies of their resolutions adopting the plan:

- Town of Darien
- Town of Greenwich
- Town of New Canaan
- Town of Norwalk

- City of Stamford
- Town of Weston
- Town of Westport
- Town of Wilton

With this plan approval, the communities listed above are eligible to apply for Mitigation Grants administered by FEMA. Please note that requests for mitigation funding will be evaluated individually according to the specific eligibility and requirements of each of these programs. Furthermore, it is important to understand that a specific mitigation activity or project identified in your region's plan may not meet the eligibility requirements for FEMA funding, and even eligible mitigation activities are not automatically approved for FEMA funding under the programs referenced above.

South Western Regional Planning Agency's multi-hazard mitigation plan must be reviewed, revised as appropriate, and resubmitted to FEMA for approval within five years of the plan approval date of June 9, 2011 in order to maintain eligibility as an applicant for mitigation grants.

Dr. Floyd Lapp June 15, 2011 Page 2

Over the next five years, we encourage South Western Regional Planning Agency to continue updating the plan's assessment of vulnerability, adhere to its maintenance schedule, and begin implementing, when possible, the mitigation actions proposed in the plan.

Once again, thank you for your continued dedication to public service demonstrated by preparing and adopting a strategy for reducing future disaster losses. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Marilyn Hilliard at (617) 956-7536.

Sincerely,

Don R. Boyce

Regional Administrator

DRB:mh

cc:

Denise Ruzicka, CT State Hazard Mitigation Officer Nicole Davis, Regional Planner, SWRPA David Campbell, First Selectman, Darien, CT Peter Tesei, First Selectman, Greenwich, CT Jeb Walker, First Selectman, New Canaan, CT Richard Moccia, Mayor, Norwalk, CT Michael Pavis, Mayor Stamford, CT Gayle Weinstein, First Selectman, Weston, CT Gorden Joseloff, First Selectman, Westport William Brennan, First Selectman, Wilton, CT

Enclosure

NAME: Darien, CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
Planning Process and Maintenance		1995.00	ing d
 Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process? 	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 20	Yes	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App. D	Yes	
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e., monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
Risk Assessment			14 E 2 E 2 E 2 E 2 E 2 E 2 E 2 E 2 E 2 E
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified, including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
Mitigation Strategy	Salar Maria Paris Control	34	
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized (including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	
Additional Requirements for Plan Updates		1000	
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	

NAME: Greenwich, CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

Regulat (44 CFR	t ion 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
Planning	g Process and Maintenance			
	s the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including times it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	September 1
regio auth and	s the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and onal agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the nority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 20	Yes	
	the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, ies, reports, and technical information?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
	s the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
plan	s the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
	s the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App. D	Yes	
the	ere discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in plan maintenance process?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
mon	ere a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e., itoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
Risk Ass				
haza	s the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural rds that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	s the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on probability of future hazard events?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
	ere a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified, iding an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 12 -46	Yes	
	s the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have n repetitively damaged by floods?	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
Mitigation	on Strategy			1111111
	ere a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities e identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
	s the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation ons and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
	the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, ach jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
requ	s the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the irements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as prehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
(inclu juriso	ere an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized uding emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each diction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	
	al Requirements for Plan Updates		2-3	100
	the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
	the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	i 1
20. Was	the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	

NAME: New Canaan, CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

Regulation	Location in	Met	Not
(44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Plan		Met
Planning Process and Maintenance	76 34 7 3		
1. Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 20	Yes	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App. D	Yes	
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e., monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
Risk Assessment	110 (F10 24) - 120 F10 F10 F10 F10 F10 F10 F10 F10 F10 F1	10 m	
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified, including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 12 -46	Yes	
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
Mitigation Strategy			(4) A
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized (including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	
Additional Requirements for Plan Updates			
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	

NAME: Norwalk, CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

Regulation	Location in	Met	Not
(44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Plan		Met
Planning Process and Maintenance			
1. Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	
	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the	II p 20	162	
authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private			
and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?			
	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	II p 10	163	
	Regional Plan, Sect III	Yes	
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	p 18-19	165	
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	II p 10		
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	II p 6-11 & App. D		
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
the plan maintenance process?			
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e.,	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	T P - 50 - 50 - 51		
Risk Assessment	Parianal Plan Cost	0.00000	
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?		ļ	
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
the probability of future hazard events?			
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Section III p 22 Figure		
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have	3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
been repetitively damaged by floods?			
Mitigation Strategy	Paris and Plant Cont	1.0	
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
to the identified hazards?		<u> </u>	
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?			
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate,	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	1
for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect		
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the	V p 130-131	Yes	
requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as			
comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?			
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9	Yes	
(including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each	App. C & B		
jurisdiction?		- 12.04 (and a second
Additional Requirements for Plan Updates			
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan,	Yes	
13. Was the plantevised to reflect progress in local initigation enorts:	Appendix B	'63	
	Regional Plan, Sect IV	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	p 47-129 & Sect II p 9		

NAME: Stamford, CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

Ke	gulation	Location in	Met	Not
1	CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Plan	Wict	Met
5297533,5469	nning Process and Maintenance			iviet
1.	Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including	Regional Plan, Sect.	Yes	
	how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	II p 6-11 App. D & E	'03	
2.	Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the	II p 20	''	
	authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private			
	and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?			
3.	Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	studies, reports, and technical information?	II p 10	103	
4.	Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III	Yes	
5.	Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the	p 18-19 Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	II p 10	163	
6.	Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
		II p 6-11 & App. D	163	
7.	Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	the plan maintenance process?	V p 132-133		
8.	Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e.,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	V p 130-131		
Ris	k Assessment			
9.	Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	Anna de Company de la company
	hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	III p 16-40		
10.	Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	the probability of future hazard events?	III p 16-40		
11.	Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	III p 12 -46		
12.	Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have	Section III p 22 Figure	Yes	
	been repetitively damaged by floods?	3-7 & Table 3-5	, 00	
Mit	igation Strategy			
13.	Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	to the identified hazards?	IV p 47-129	103	
14.	Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	IV p 47-129	103	
15.	Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	for each jurisdiction?	IV p 47-129	103	
16.	Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as	V p 130-131	163	
	comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?			
17	Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized	Regional Plan, Sect IV	V	
17.	(including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each	p 47-129 & Sect II p 9	Yes	
	jurisdiction?	App. C & B	İ	
Δdr	litional Requirements for Plan Updates			
		Pegional Plan Sact		
то.	Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19.	Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan,	Yes	
		Appendix B	103	
20.	Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV	Yes	
		p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B		i

NAME: Weston, CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

1	gulation I CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
2012/06/2012	nning Process and Maintenance	i idii		IVICE
	Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including	Regional Plan, Sect.	Yes	
	how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	II p 6-11 App. D & E	163	
2.	Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the	II p 20	163	
	authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private			
	and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?			
3.	Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans,	Regional Plan, Sect	\	
٥.	studies, reports, and technical information?	II p 10	Yes	
4.		Regional Plan, Sect III	.,	
4.	Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	p 18-19	Yes	
5.	Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	II p 10		
6.	Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
		II p 6-11 & App. D		
7.	Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	the plan maintenance process?	V p 132-133		
8.	Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e.,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	V p 130-131		
Ris	k Assessment			
9.	Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	III p 16-40	, 00	
10.	Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	the probability of future hazard events?	III p 16-40	103	
11.	Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	III p 12 -46	163	
12	Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have	Section III p 22 Figure	Vos	
	been repetitively damaged by floods?	3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
Mit	igation Strategy	2.763		
		Posional Dian Cost	.,	
13.	Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
1.4	to the identified hazards?			
14.	Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
4.5	actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?			
15.	Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	for each jurisdiction?	IV p 47-129		
16.	Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as	V p 130-131		
	comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?			
17.	Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized	Regional Plan, Sect IV	Yes	
	(including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each	p 47-129 & Sect II p 9	, 53	
	jurisdiction?	App. C & B		
Add	litional Requirements for Plan Updates			
	Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect	Vac	4.3.7.2.97
	The the plan revised to reflect changes in development:	III p 41-42	Yes	
19.	Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan,	Yes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Appendix B		
20.	Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV	Yes	
		p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	į	

NAME: WESTPORT CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

Regulation			
(44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
Planning Process and Maintenance			IAIG
1. Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including	Regional Plan, Sect.	Yes	SE VIEW OF THE
now it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	II p 6-11 App. D & E		
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the	II p 20	, cs	
authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private	ļ		
and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?		ł	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
studies, reports, and technical information?	II p 10		
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III	Yes	†
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the	p 18-19 Regional Plan, Sect		
plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	II p 10	Yes	
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect	+	
	II n 6-11 & Ann D	Yes	
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
the plan maintenance process?	V p 132-133		
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e.,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	<u> </u>
monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	V p 130-131		
Risk Assessment	18 E. W. 18	7.55	第二二
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	III p 16-40		
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
the probability of future hazard events?	III p 16-40		
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	III p 12 -46		
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
been repetitively damaged by floods? Mitigation Strategy	3-7 & Table 3-3		
	的事件的现在分词		
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	IV p 47-129		
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard? 15. Does the plan address continued by the plan a	IV p 47-129		
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	IV p 47-129		
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as	V p 130-131		
comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?			
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized	Regional Plan, Sect IV	Yes	
(including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each	p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	1	
jurisdiction?			
Additional Requirements for Plan Updates		7.	
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	III p 41-42		
	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV	Yes	
	p 47-129 & Sect II p 9	162	
	App. C & B		

NAME: Wilton, CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

, -	ulation CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
Plar	ning Process and Maintenance			31.15
1.	Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including	Regional Plan, Sect.	Yes	
	how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	II p 6-11 App. D & E		
	Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the	II p 20		
	authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private			
	and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?			
	Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	<u> </u>
	studies, reports, and technical information?	II p 10		
	Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
5.	Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	II p 10		
6.	Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App. D	Yes	
	Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
	the plan maintenance process?	<u>'</u>		
	Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e.,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
A CONTRACT TO A TO A CO.	monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	V p 130-131		
Risk	Assessment		Austria)	
	Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
	Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	the probability of future hazard events?	III p 16-40		
	Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	III p 12 -46		
	Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have	Section III p 22 Figure	Yes	
	been repetitively damaged by floods?	3-7 & Table 3-5	'	
*** * No. 8 No. 2 No. 1999 / C	gation Strategy	100	- 7,	
	Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	to the identified hazards?	IV p 47-129	''	
	Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	IV p 47-129	, , , ,	
	Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate,	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
	for each jurisdiction?	IV p 47-129	163	
		Regional Plan, Sect	Vos	
	Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the	V p 130-131	Yes	
	requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as	•		
	comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?			
	Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9	Yes	
	(including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each	App. C & B		
	jurisdiction?			
Add	itional Requirements for Plan Updates		Page 1	
18.	Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect	Yes	
		III p 41-42	 ,,	
19.	Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20	Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV	Yes	
20.	was the plantevised to renect changes in priorities?	p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	162	