

RECEIVED

JUN 27 2011

SWRPA

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
99 High Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Boston, MA 02110-2320



FEMA

June 15, 2011

Dr. Floyd Lapp, Executive Director  
South Western Regional Planning Agency  
Stamford Government Center  
888 Washington Boulevard, Third Floor  
Stamford, CT 06901

Dear Dr. Lapp:

Thank you for the opportunity to review additional Annexes of the South Western Regional Planning Agency Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan. The Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region I has evaluated the plan for compliance with 44 CFR Part 201. The plan satisfactorily meets all of the mandatory requirements set forth by the regulations. Congratulations on this achievement!

This plan approval includes the following participating jurisdictions that provided copies of their resolutions adopting the plan:

- Town of Darien
- Town of Greenwich
- Town of New Canaan
- Town of Norwalk
- City of Stamford
- Town of Weston
- Town of Westport
- Town of Wilton

With this plan approval, the communities listed above are eligible to apply for Mitigation Grants administered by FEMA. Please note that requests for mitigation funding will be evaluated individually according to the specific eligibility and requirements of each of these programs. Furthermore, it is important to understand that a specific mitigation activity or project identified in your region's plan may not meet the eligibility requirements for FEMA funding, and even eligible mitigation activities are not automatically approved for FEMA funding under the programs referenced above.

South Western Regional Planning Agency's multi-hazard mitigation plan must be reviewed, revised as appropriate, and resubmitted to FEMA for approval within **five years of the plan approval date of June 9, 2011** in order to maintain eligibility as an applicant for mitigation grants.

Dr. Floyd Lapp

June 15, 2011

Page 2

Over the next five years, we encourage South Western Regional Planning Agency to continue updating the plan's assessment of vulnerability, adhere to its maintenance schedule, and begin implementing, when possible, the mitigation actions proposed in the plan.

Once again, thank you for your continued dedication to public service demonstrated by preparing and adopting a strategy for reducing future disaster losses. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Marilyn Hilliard at (617) 956-7536.

Sincerely,



Don R. Boyce  
Regional Administrator

DRB:mh

cc: Denise Ruzicka , CT State Hazard Mitigation Officer  
Nicole Davis, Regional Planner, SWRPA  
David Campbell, First Selectman, Darien, CT  
Peter Tesei, First Selectman, Greenwich, CT  
Jeb Walker, First Selectman, New Canaan, CT  
Richard Moccia, Mayor, Norwalk, CT  
Michael Pavis, Mayor Stamford, CT  
Gayle Weinstein, First Selectman, Weston, CT  
Gorden Joseloff, First Selectman, Westport  
William Brennan, First Selectman, Wilton, CT

Enclosure

# MULTI-JURISDICTION MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CHECKLIST

NAME: Darien, CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

DATE OF REVIEW AND VERSION: February 2011 & May 13, 2011

Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
<b>Planning Process and Maintenance</b>			
1. Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 20	Yes	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App. D	Yes	
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e., monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
<b>Risk Assessment</b>			
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified, including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 12 -46	Yes	
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
<b>Mitigation Strategy</b>			
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized (including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	
<b>Additional Requirements for Plan Updates</b>			
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	

# MULTI-JURISDICTION MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CHECKLIST

NAME: Greenwich, CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

DATE OF REVIEW AND VERSION: February 2011 & May 13, 2011

Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
<b>Planning Process and Maintenance</b>			
1. Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 20	Yes	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App. D	Yes	
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e., monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
<b>Risk Assessment</b>			
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified, including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 12 -46	Yes	
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
<b>Mitigation Strategy</b>			
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized (including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	
<b>Additional Requirements for Plan Updates</b>			
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	

# MULTI-JURISDICTION MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CHECKLIST

NAME: New Canaan, CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

DATE OF REVIEW AND VERSION: February 2011 & May 13, 2011

Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
<b>Planning Process and Maintenance</b>			
1. Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 20	Yes	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App. D	Yes	
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e., monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
<b>Risk Assessment</b>			
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified, including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 12-46	Yes	
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
<b>Mitigation Strategy</b>			
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized (including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	
<b>Additional Requirements for Plan Updates</b>			
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	



# MULTI-JURISDICTION MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CHECKLIST

NAME: **Norwalk, CT** - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

DATE OF REVIEW AND VERSION: February 2011 & May 13, 2011

Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
<b>Planning Process and Maintenance</b>			
1. Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 20	Yes	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App. D	Yes	
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e., monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
<b>Risk Assessment</b>			
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified, including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 12-46	Yes	
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
<b>Mitigation Strategy</b>			
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized (including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	
<b>Additional Requirements for Plan Updates</b>			
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	

# MULTI-JURISDICTION MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CHECKLIST

NAME: **Stamford, CT** - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

DATE OF REVIEW AND VERSION: February 2011 & May 13, 2011

<b>Regulation</b> (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	<b>Location in Plan</b>	<b>Met</b>	<b>Not Met</b>
<b>Planning Process and Maintenance</b>			
1. Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 20	Yes	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App. D	Yes	
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e., monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
<b>Risk Assessment</b>			
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified, including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 12 -46	Yes	
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
<b>Mitigation Strategy</b>			
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized (including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	
<b>Additional Requirements for Plan Updates</b>			
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	

# MULTI-JURISDICTION MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CHECKLIST

NAME: Weston, CT - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

DATE OF REVIEW AND VERSION: February 2011 & May 13, 2011

Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
<b>Planning Process and Maintenance</b>			
1. Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 20	Yes	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App. D	Yes	
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e., monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
<b>Risk Assessment</b>			
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified, including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 12 -46	Yes	
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
<b>Mitigation Strategy</b>			
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized (including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	
<b>Additional Requirements for Plan Updates</b>			
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	



# MULTI-JURISDICTION MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CHECKLIST

NAME: **WESTPORT CT** - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

DATE OF REVIEW AND VERSION: February 2011 & May 13, 2011

Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
<b>Planning Process and Maintenance</b>			
1. Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 20	Yes	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App.D	Yes	
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e., monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
<b>Risk Assessment</b>			
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified, including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 12 -46	Yes	
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
<b>Mitigation Strategy</b>			
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized (including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	
<b>Additional Requirements for Plan Updates</b>			
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	

MULTI-JURISDICTION MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CHECKLIST

NAME: **Wilton, CT** - PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION STRATEGY Plan CT's SOUTH WESTERN REGION

DATE OF REVIEW AND VERSION: February 2011 & May 13, 2011

Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan	Met	Not Met
<b>Planning Process and Maintenance</b>			
1. Does the plan document the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process?	Regional Plan, Sect. II p 6-11 App. D & E	Yes	
2. Does the plan describe an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 20	Yes	
3. Did the plan describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
4. Does the plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 18-19	Yes	
5. Does the plan describe how the public was given an opportunity to comment on the plan during the planning process and prior to plan approval?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 10	Yes	
6. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the planning process?	Regional Plan, Sect II p 6-11 & App. D	Yes	
7. Is there discussion of how the local government(s) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 132-133	Yes	
8. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current, i.e., monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
<b>Risk Assessment</b>			
9. Does the plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction(s)?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
10. Does the plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 16-40	Yes	
11. Is there a description of each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards identified, including an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 12 -46	Yes	
12. Does the plan address NFIP insured structures within the planning area that have been repetitively damaged by floods?	Section III p 22 Figure 3-7 & Table 3-5	Yes	
<b>Mitigation Strategy</b>			
13. Is there a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
14. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
15. Does the plan address continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate, for each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129	Yes	
16. Does the plan describe a process by which local governments will incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate?	Regional Plan, Sect V p 130-131	Yes	
17. Is there an action plan describing how the actions identified will be prioritized (including emphasis on cost benefit review) implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	
<b>Additional Requirements for Plan Updates</b>			
18. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	Regional Plan, Sect III p 41-42	Yes	
19. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts?	Regional Plan, Appendix B	Yes	
20. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	Regional Plan, Sect IV p 47-129 & Sect II p 9 App. C & B	Yes	